

ISSN: 2321-8614

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMBINING ABILITY FOR YEILD AND YEILD ATTRIBUTES IN INDIAN MUSTARD (BRASSICA JUNCEA L.)

Darshan Lal, Rajeev Kumar¹, S.K. Singh², B.B. Singh¹, Anil Kumar¹ and Sanjeev Kumar¹

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) INDIA

¹Janta Mahavidiyalaya Ajitmal, Auraiya (U.P.) INDIA

²Department of Agricultural Botany, Choudhary Chotu Ram P.G. College, Muzaffarnagar (U.P.) INDIA Email:- rajeevkumard699@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The combining ability analysis of 10 parents and their-45F₁'s and 45 F₂S generated through Diallel system of mating revealed that significant differences existed for general combining ability (GCA) for all the characters. GCA variances were important for all the characters indicating the presence of both additive and non-additive gene effects in controlling the expression of various characters. Parent Rohini, Varuna and RH-9801 were the good general combiners for seed yield and oil content. Additive gene action along with partial dominance was observed in oil content and 1000-seed weight. RH-819, RH-9304 and Vaibhav also exhibited desirable general combining ability effect for earliness and longer plant height. Varieties RGN-19, RH-9304 showed better performance for early flowering, No. of secondary branches per plant and other yield attributing traits in both the generation.

Key Words: Brassica Juncea, General combining ability, Diallel

The Brassicaceae family consists of many important field crops and vegetables such as mustard. Mustard rank third in the world and most important vegetable oil source with an annual growth rate exceeding of palm. Mustard is the world's second leading source of protein meals. The main mustard producing regions of the world are China, Canada, India and Northern Europe. Worldwide production of mustard has increased six fold between 1975 and 2007 by the aim of conventional and modern plant breeding approaches. World production is expected to trend further upward over between 2005 and 2015 (UN Food & Agriculture Organization (FAO). Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*

L. (Czern and coss)) is an important oil seed crop in India. It has 38 to 42% oil and 24% protein. Diallel analysis provides a mating design whereby the selected parents are crossed in all possible combinations. The mean values are used for predicting combining ability of the parents (GCA) and hybrids (SCA) to enlighten the nature of gene action involved in the inheritance of traits (Khan *et al.*, 2009a). It works as a principal method for screening of germplasm and to determine the ability of the different genotypes to be included or not in a future breeding programme on the basis of their GCA, SCA and reciprocal effects. The yield advancement in brassica requires information regarding

the nature of different combining abilities of parents and also knows how about the nature of gene action involved in expression of different quantitative and qualitative traits of economic importance is also a prerequisite to develop and design desirable lines (Gupta et al., (2011) and Dholu et al., (2014). Zhang (1987) and Larik et al. (1999) reported that selection of parent cultivars for intraspecific hybridization is greatly facilitated by the utilization of GCA and SCA. In breeding programs, the GCA and SCA are usually used for parents and their cross combinations selection, respectively for improvement of crop production (Singh and Sachan, 2003). Therefore, desirable GCA and SCA are needed to achieve higher yield with heterosis (Marinkovi and Marjanovic, 2004). Many studies have been conducted to address the effects of GCA and SCA for yield and yield components in different crops (Khan et al., 2009a and b; Muraya et al., 2006). But the research studies regarding gene action for yield and yield components in brassica is unsolved and needs consideration. The present study aims to identify the best general combiners and their F₁ hybrids on the basis of their general, specific and reciprocal combining ability for yield and its contributing traits. Development of superior variety could be done by reshuffling the genes through hybridization from suitable parents. Moreover, it is also necessary to know about the nature and magnitude of gene action responsible for controlling the inheritance of various yield attributes along with combining ability of the parent and their cross combination in order to exploit them in further crop improvement programme.

MATERIALSAND METHODS

Ten parents *viz*. Varuna, Rohini, Vaibhav, Vardan, RH-819, Krishna, RH-9304, RGN-19, RH-9801 and RH-30 were crossed in half diallele fashion to produce 45 F₁s. Ten parents and their 45F₁s were grown in a randomized block design with three replications. Each parent and F₁s. were grow in single row of 4m length with row to row and plant to plant distance of 45 and 15cm respectively in each replication during rabi 2010-2011 at the experimental research farm of C.S.Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur.

Recommended agronomic practices were adopted in order to raise a healthy crop. A sample of five representative plant were taken from each plot for recording data on days to flowering, plant height, number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, length of main receme number of siliquae on main raceme, days to maturity, number of seed per siliqua, test weight, yield and oil content in each replication. The combining ability analysis was done by the procedure suggested by Griffing's (1956) Method 2, Model I. The mathematical model for the combining ability analysis is assumed to be:

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Yijkl} = u + gi + gj + sij + 1/bc \text{ di deijk } (i,j) = 1,2,3...\\ & n;\\ & k = 1,2,3...b;\\ & l = 1,2,3...c)\\ & \text{where,} \end{aligned}$

Yijkl= mean of i x jth genotype in kth replication u= the population mean

gi= the general combining ability (gca) effect of ith parent

gj= the gca effect of jth parent

sij = the specific combining ability (gca) effect for the cross between ith, jth parent such that <math>sij = sji

 $\Sigma i \Sigma eijkl=$ the environmental effect associated with the ijklth individual observation on ith individual in the kth block with ith as female parent and jth as male parent.

Statistical analysis was based on the method analysis of variance as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) and the standard error difference was computed by at 5 and 1 % level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General combining ability (GCA) effect of parents was for all characters based on F_1 and F_2 generation are presented in Table 1 a & b. A significant differences among the parents with respect to their gca effect both the generations in days to flowering table 2. Parents RGN-19 followed by Krishna and Rohini in F_1 , Vaibhav followed by RH-9301 and RGN-19 in F_2 generation for earliness flowering were recorded good general combiner, while Variety RH-819 were recorded good combiner for late flowering.

The parents RH-819 followed by RH-9304, Vaibhav in F_1 RH-30 followed by RH-9304 and Vardan in F_2 were recorded good general combining parents for shortest plant height, whereas RH9801 followed by Krishna, RH-819 in F_2 were noticed good general combiner for tallest plant. The number of primary branches was recorded on the basis of general

combining effects. The parents Krishna, RH-9801, Vardan in F₁ generation and RH-819 and RH-9304 in F₂ were proved to be good general combiner. The parents RH-30, RGN-19, Vardan and RH-9801 showed significantly high GCA effect for large number of secondary branches, while the parents RH-819, Rohini, RH-9304 and Krishna showed negative value

Table 1(a): Combined analysis of variance for parents, F_1 's parents Vs F_1 's in diallele mating design for yield and yield attributing characters of mustard

Source of Variation	d.f.	Days to flower ing	Plant Height (cm)	No. of primary branches/pl ant	No. of secondary branches/pla nt	Lengt h of main racem e	No. of siliqua on main raceme	Days to maturit y	No. of seeds /siliqua	Test weight	Oil content (%)	Yield/ Plant (g)
Replicati	2	0.245	2.547	1.661	3.161	0.789	0.344	0.677	0.217	0.071	0.141	0.297
ons												
Treatmen	54	14.745	356.585	0.462	2.774**	174.56	160.03	8.260*	2.293*	0.362	8.290**	38.20
ts		**	**			2**	1**	*	*			9**
Parents	9	12.658	378.499	0.540	3.592**	190.57	138.69	6.642*	2.605*	0.655	4.155**	20.30
		**	**			9**	1**	*	*			2**
F_1 'S	44	15.488	399.370	0.456	2.630**	149.18	141.19	8.778*	2.196*	0.231	8.425**	41.47
		**	**			4**	9**	*	*			2**
P vsF ₁ 'S	1	0.752*	917.198	1.263**	1.738**	1147.0	1180.7	0.083	3.781*	3.490*	39.559**	55.82
		*	**			49**	13**		*	*		9**
Error	108	0.264	0.785	0.168	0.251	0.268	0.823	0.848	0.331	0.012	0.104	0.215

^{*}Significant at 5 % level, **Significant at 1 % level

Table 1 (b): Combined analysis of variance for parents, F₂'s parents Vs F₂'s in diallele mating design for yield and yield attributing characters of mustard

Source of Variation	d.f.	Days to flowering	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches /plant	No. of secondary branches/ plant	Length of main raceme	No. of siliqua on main raceme	Days to maturity	No. of seeds / siliqua	Test weight	Oil content (%)	Yield/ Plant (g)
Replicatio	2	0.681	0.188	0.411	0.853	0.176	1.282	6.962	1.825	0.014	0.548	0.284
ns												
Treatment	54	10.495**	600.246**	0.603**	1.734**	197.748	204.003	5.003**	3.218**	0.562	6.072**	24.876
S						**	**					**
Parents	9	12.658**	378.499**	0.540*	3.592**	190.579	138.691	6.642**	2.605**	0.655**	4.155**	20.302
						**	**					**
$\dot{F_2S}$	44	10.265**	547.396**	0.609**	1.328*	158.649	191.613	4.781**	3.084**	0.245**	6.468**	25.239
						**	**					**
P vsF ₂ 'S	1	1.015**	4921.69**	0.867**	2.849**	1982.58	1337.48	0.376	14.652*	2.844**	5.824**	50.080
						2**	**		*			**
Error	108	0.279	0.303	0.241	0.249	0.428	0.821	2.874	0.279	0.036	0.118	0.315

^{*}Significant at 5 % level, **Significant at 1 % level

Ta	Table 2: Estimate the general combining ability for yield and yield attributing characters of mustard	mate th	e genera	al comb	ining a	bility for	yield a	and yield	attribu	ting ch.	aracter	S of mus	tard										
Sr. No.	Parents	Day	Days to flowering	Plant	Plantheight	No of prima branches	primary nches	Secor bran	No of secondary branches	Length of Main raceme	th of seeme	No of siliqua on main receme	iliqua tain me	Days to maturity		No of seed per siliqua	ed per ua	Test weight	eight	Oil sc	Oil content	Yield (g)	(g)
		\mathbf{F}_{1}	F ₂	H ₁	F ₂	\mathbf{F}_{1}	\mathbf{F}_2	\mathbf{F}_1	F_2	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{I}}$	F_2	\mathbf{F}_{1}	F_2	F_1	F2	\mathbf{F}_1	\mathbf{F}_2	17.	F ₂	펀	F_2	\mathbf{F}_{1}	F_2
ij	Varuna		0660 0.400 -3.020 0.270 -0.200*	-3.020	0.270	-0.200*	-0.030	0.100	0.150	1.420	3.060	e	4.160	0230	-001	0.180	0.330*	091.0	0.260	0.430	0.430 0.380 **	1.320	090.0
		* *	*	*	*					« *	*	0.540*	*					* **	*	*		*	
7.	Rohini	-0.290	-0.290 0.240 * 1.820 5.940 0.000	1.820	5.940	0.000	-0.110	-0.110 -0.660 -0.540 0.570	-0.540		3.650	-1.070	3570	0.120	0.120 -0.280 -0.210 * -0.080	0.210 *	-0.080	0.030	-0.130	0.700	0.700 0.970 **	0.410	-0.520
		*		*	*			**	*	*	* *	*	*						*	*		*	*
3.	Vaibhav -0.01 -0.890 -3.570 2.430	-0.01	068 0-	-3.570	2.430	-0.20	-0.00	0.050	-0.030	0.050 -0.030 -0.340 0.830		0.170	1.580	-0.770 0.190		0.220*	-0.020	0.040*	-0.040	-0.420	-0.420 0.260 **	-0.840	-0.730
			46- 46-	*	*					*	*		*	*						*		*	*
4.	Vardan 0610 -0.190	0190	-0.190		2920 -3.250 0.060	090.0	0.030		0.330 0.100	0.970	-2.430 0.910		-2.150	0.910 0.020	0.020	0.210*	0.810	-0.150	-0.230	0.170*	-0.230 0.170* 0.520 **	0.320	1.190
		*	*	茶	*			*		*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*			*	*
5.	RH-819 0280 1.060	0280			-5.660 3.350 -0.050	-0.050	0.150		-0.740 -0.380	1.290	0.230	-1.05	-0.140	0510 0.990*		-130	-030	0.040*	0.040	0.300	0900	-0.110	0250
		*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*		* *						*			*
9	Krishna -0.490 -0.150	-0.490	-0.150	3310	3310 5.570 0.140*	0.140*	-0.170*	-0.170* -0.010 0.000		-3.790 -0.870	-0.870	-1.800	0.970	-0.080 -0.310		0.110	-0.070	-0.120	-0.050	-0.050	-0.780	-0.380	0.240
		*		*	*					*	*	*	*					*			*	*	*
7	RH-	-0.050	-0.050 -8.60	-0.520 -4.980 0.20	4.980	0.20	0.040	-0.110 0.090		-1.320 -0.810 0.320	-0.810		-1.810 -0.150 -0.360	-0.150	-0360	-0.040	0.100	-0.040*	0.160	-0.790	-0.540	-1.380	-0.200
	9304		*	*	*					*	*	*	*						*	*	*	*	*
<u>«</u>	RGN-19 -0.976 -0.210	-0.970	-0.210	1.680	-1.07	-1.07 -0.080	-0.110		0.360 0.210*	0.780	-2.560	2240	-1.690	-0.40	-0.170	-0.600	-0.680	0.030	0.020	-0.200	-0.200 0.430 **	0.410	0370
		*	*	*	*			*		*	*	*	*			*	*			*		*	*
6	RH-	0230	0.750	5.170	5.170 -2.820 0.100	0.100	0.150	0.190* 0.050	0.050	2.790	0.110	2.570	-0.480*	-0.120 0.020		0.120	0.120	0.090	-0.020	0.310	-0150*	0.850	0.410
	9801	*	*	*	*					*		*						*		*		*	*
10.	10. RH-30	0.030	0030 -0.140 -2.130 -5.450 0.030	-2130	-5.450	0.030	-0.220*		0.500 0.270*	-2.36	-1.210	-1.740	4 020	-0.660 -0.100		0.130	0.470	-0.080	-0.010	-0.470	-0.280	-0.590	-1.080
				*	*			*		*	*	*	*	*			*	*		*	*	*	*
S	SE(g) ±	0800	0.080 0.083	0.138	0.085	0.065	0.077		0.079 0.079	0.082	0.105	0.145	0.141	0.145	0.268	0.091	0.083	0.017	0.029	0.051	0.053	0.073	0.088
SE	SE (gi-gj)±	0118	0.126	0207	0.126	960.0	0.114	0.118	0.118	0.122	0.155	0214	0212	0219	0.399	0.134	0.126	0.026	0.044	0.076	0.081	0.109	0.134

for GCA in both the generations. It is due additive and non-additive effect of genes. These finding also corroborated with the results of Dhuppe *et al.*, (2006), Bhandari *et al.*, (2007), Vagadiya *et al.*, (2010), Jethva *et al.*, (2011) and Bhadalia *et al.*, (2014) in mustard.

For the main length of raceme the parent RH-9801 followed by Varuna, RH-819, RGN-19, Vardan and Rohini in F, generation and Rohini, Varuna, Vaibhav and RH-819 in F, generations were recorded good general combining parents for bearing maximum length of main raceme. Significant differences were noted for number of siliquas on the main raceme in both the generations. Parents RGN-19 and Vardan showed maximum siliqua in F₁ and Varuna, Rohini, Vaibhav and Krishna in F, generation were recorded good general combining parents. Early maturity was consider desirable therefore parents were negative estimate of GCA effects were consider promising parent Vaibhav and RH-30 showed significant negative GCA in F₁ and parents RH-9304, Krishna, Rohini showed negative GCA effect in F₂ for maturity. Varieties RH-819, Vaibhav, Vardan and RH-9801 showed positive GCA effect and good combiner for late maturity. A significant GCA effect were recorded for number of seed per siliqua for the both the generation. The parents Vaibhav, Vardan, Varuna, in F₁ and Vardan RH-30 and Varuna in F₂ were recorded good general combining parents for bearing higher number of seed per siliqua.

The parent Varuna followed by RH-9304, Vaibhav in F₁ generation and Varuna followed by RH-9304 and RH-819 in F₂ were reported for higher test weight. A significant difference was noted for oil content in both the generation. The parents RH-9801, RH-819 in F₁ and Rohini, Vardan, Varuna and Vaibhav in F₂ were recorded good general combining parent for higher oil contents. The parents Varuna followed by RH-9801, Rohini, RNG-19, Vardan F₁ and Vardan followed by RH-9801, RNG-19 and RH-819 in F₂ were showed good general combining parent for higher yield per plant in both the generations.

The result indicated that the exploitation of the

both additive and non-additive types of gene action. It would be worthwhile to resort breeding methodologies such as biparental mating, recurrent selection or reciprocal recurrent selection, which would accumulate favourble genes in homozygous state or help in breaking linkage blocks thereby generating maximum variability for further selection. Similar finding were also reported by Gupta *et al.*, (2011) and Dholu *et al.*, (2014).

Conclusion:

The present investigation concluded that GCA effects were higher in magnitude for pods per plant, pod length and seed yield plant per plant indicating that these trait were governed by additive type of gene action. GCA effects were of higher magnitude for seeds pod per siliqua and test weight manifesting that maternal effect play crucial role for these traits. The importance of both additive and non-additive variability could not be ignored and the use of integrated breeding strategies is needed for the improvement of these traits for *Brassica juncea* genotypes.

REFERENCES

Bhadalia A S, Dhedhi K K, Joshi H J and Sorathiya J S (2014). Combining ability studies through diallele analysis in pearl millet. *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, **10**(1): 57-60.

Bhandari S H, Dangaria C J and Dhedhi K K (2007). Diallele analysis for yield and yield components in pearl millet. *Asian J. Bio. Sci.*, **2**(2): 162-166.

Dholu V K, Sasidaran N, Suthar K, Bhusan B and Patel J N (2014). Heterosis and combining ability analysis in Indian mustard. *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, **10**(1): 102-107.

Dhuppe M V, Chavan AA, Phad D Sand Chandankar GD (2006). Combining ability studies in pearl millet. *J. Maharastra Agri. Uni.*, **31**: 146-148.

Griffing B (1956b). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems, *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, **9**: 463-493.

Gupta P, Chaudhary S and Kumar S (2011). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard. *Acade. J. Plant Sci.*, **41**(2): 45-52.

Jethva A S, Raval L, Madriya RB, Mehta D R and Manavia C (2011). Combining ability over environments for grain yield and its related traits in pearl millets. *Crop Improvement*, **38**(1): 92-96.

Khan N U, Hassan G, Marwat K B, Kumbha M B, Khan I, Soomro Z A, Baloch M J and Khan M Z (2009a). Legacy study of cottonseed traits in upland cotton using Griffing's combining ability model. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41: 131-142.

Khan NU, Hassan G, Kumbhar M B, Marwatk B, Khan M A, Parveen A, Aiman U and Saeed M.(2009b). Combining ability analysis to identify suitable parents for heterosis in seed cotton yield, its components and lint % in upland cotton. *Indian Crops Prod.*, 29: 108-115.

Larik A S, Mahar A R, Kakar A A and Shafkh M A (1999). Heterosis, inbreeding depression and combining ability in *Triticum aestivum* L. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, **36**(1-2): 39-44.

Marinkovic CR and Marjanovic A (2004). Combining ability in some varieties of winter oil rape (*B. napus* L.). *Biotechnol. and Biotechnol. Equip.*, **18**: 110-114.

Muraya M, Ndirangu C M and Omolo E O (2006). Heterosis and combining ability in diallel crosses involving maize S-1 lines. *Austr. J. Exp. Agric.*, **46**: 387-394.

Panse G V and Sukhatme V P (1967). Statistical method for agriculture worker. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*, New Delhi, India.

Singh Y and Sachan J N (2003). Combining ability analysis for seed yield and its components in Indian mustard *B. juncea. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.*, **63**: 83-84.

Vagadiya K J, Dhedhi K K, Joshi H J, Vekariya H B and Bhadalia A S (2010). Genetic Architecture of grain yield and its components in pearl millet. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, **5**(2): 582-586.

Zhang X Q (1987). The performance of two combining abilities in F_1 of corn inbred lines. *Acta Agron. Sin.*, **13**: 135-142.
